




REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT
BONCODIN HALL, GENERAL SOLANO ST., SAN MIGUEL, MANILA

MAY 14 2019

DR. EDITO B. SUMILE

President

Davao Oriental State College of Science and Technology (DOSCST)
Mati City, Davao Oriental



Dear Dr. Sumile,

In compliance with the provisions under Book VI of the Executive Order No. 292 (Administrative Code of 1987) dated July 25, 1987, as cited in Chapter 2 – Budget Policy and Approach (*Section 9-Performance and Financial Review*) and Chapter 6-Budget Accountability (*Section 56-Semi-annual Report on Accomplishments of Government Agencies*) and Circular Letter No. 2018-13 dated November 22, 2018, the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) conducts semi-annual (i.e., as of June and as of December) monitoring and review of agency performance, particularly agency utilization of government fund vis-à-vis plans/targets.

Relative thereto, after due coordination and conduct of consultation with your College to clarify/secure documentary support, we have completed the review of the College's performance covering the physical, financial and income performance for the period January 1 to December 31, 2018, with the following findings/recommendations:

◆ Findings: Assessment of Agency Performance as of December 31, 2018

○ Summary and Analysis of information using APR Matrix

1. Physical Performance

- 1.1 Higher Education Program – 120% average accomplishment for Outcome Indicators 1 and 2 of 127% and Output Indicators 1 and 2 of 113%.

The significant increase in the accomplishment for Outcome Indicator 1 and 2 of 127% is due to the implementation of the “Tracer-Study”, a tool used by DOSCST to trace all graduates from the preceding two (2) years whether employed or not.

- 1.2 Research Program – 177% average accomplishment for Outcome Indicator 1 of 225% and Output Indicators 1 and 2 of 128%.

Outcome Indicator 1 is a new indicator pursuant to the Program Expenditure Classification (PREXC). The College did not have baseline data when it prepared the targets last year. Thus, a very conservative target of 4. DOSCST's accomplishment for the year was 9.

- 1.3 Technical Advisory Extension Program – 149% average accomplishment for Outcome Indicator 1 of 171% and Output Indicators 1 to 3 of 127%.

Same as Outcome Indicator 1 of Higher Education Program, Outcome Indicator 1 of this program is also a new indicator that conforms to PREXC.

The College has also no available baseline data for the target. Thus, a significant accomplishment of 12 projects over a very conservative target of 7.

However, for Output Indicator 3, having no baseline data is not an acceptable reason/justification. The targets of only 50% of the beneficiaries to rate the training courses and advisory services is somewhat very low and understated. The target should be at least 75% of the beneficiaries are served.

2. Financial Performance (Budget Utilization Rate)

Budget Utilization Rate (BUR)

PAP	Allotment Released (000)	Actual Obligations (000)	Disbursement (000)	Utilization Rate		
				Obligation vs Allotment	Disbursement vs Obligation	Disbursement vs Allotment
GASS	32,687	32,337	32,222	99%	100%	99%
STO	1,521	1,508	1,441	99%	96%	95%
HEP	97,823	96,090	95,588	98%	99%	98%
RP	2,077	1,936	1,727	93%	89%	83%
TAEP	1,680	1,607	1,586	96%	99%	94%
Locally Funded Projects	141,555	140,642	117,307	99%	83%	83%
	277,343	274,120	249,871	99%	91%	90%

DOSCST's Budget Utilization Rate (BUR) for the period January 1 to December 31 of CY 2018 are as follows:

- 99% - Obligation vs Allotment
- 91% - Disbursement vs Obligation
- 90% - Disbursement vs Allotment

The 1% variance for Obligation vs. Allotment represent the Unobligated Allotment/Savings.

The 9% variance of BUR for Disbursement vs. Obligation is due to uncontrollable factor for the Not Yet Due and Demandable Obligations.

The implementation of the design-and-build mode of procurement for infrastructure projects contributed to the achievement of the higher BUR.

3. Income Performance

For CY 2018, DOSCST has an internally generated income of P87,045,934.88 which is equivalent to 233% of DOSCST's targeted estimated income in FY 2018 as reflected in the FY 2018 BESF of P37,360,000. The CY 2018 income is 85% of the 2017 income of P102,228.00 (See Annex B for details).

The DOSCST BUR for income is 85% i.e. P150,094,676.94 over the total income of P176,223,934.88.

The achievement in income collection and BUR which both surpassed targets are commendable.

◆ **Rating/Recommendation**

DOSCST's performance ratings for CY 2018 are as follows:

Physical Performance	
HEP	120%
RP	177%
TAEP	149%
Average	149%
Financial Performance (Obligation BUR)	99%

We recommend that in the succeeding years the College should:

1. Consider all factors in obtaining the State College and its Stakeholders in coming up with plans.
2. Review its existing tools in planning.
3. Review the plans from time to time.
4. Use the actual accomplishment as one of the baseline data in setting up realistic target.
5. Extensive planning process to include consultation of stakeholders, timing of needs, climate change and priorities among others before a project will be proposed with considerations on the requirements set by other oversight and implementing Agencies (e.g. NEDA, DPWH, MGB, PAGASA, and PHILVOCS).
6. A system or a mechanism should be established to determine compliance on the aforementioned requirements, e.g. checklist.
7. Conduct of Early Procurement Activities (EPA) short of award should be undertaken pursuant. Section 7.6 of the Revised 2016 Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of RA 9184 and supplemented by DBM Circular Letter Nos. 2018-8 dated July 30, 2018 and 2018-12 dated November 21, 2018.
8. Continue the implementation of design-and-build mode of procurement.


The government appreciates the efforts of the DOSCST in delivering its commitments in terms of the performance indicators reflected in Volume II of the FY 2018 General Appropriations Act.

The DBM will be pleased to provide any clarification/additional information on issues raised in this letter.

We hope the result of this review will guide DOSCST in ensuring a more productive performance in the coming years.

Very truly yours,

By Authority of the Secretary


TINA ROSE MARIE L. CANDA
Undersecretary

AGENCY PERFORMANCE REVIEW (APR) MATRIX

DEPARTMENT: State College and Universities (SUC)

AGENCY: DAVAO ORIENTAL STATE UNIVERSITY

As of December 31, 2018

MFO/PAP/Performance Indicators	FY 2018 Physical Targets/Accomplishment										FY 2018 Financial Performance (In Thousand Pesos)														Utilization Rate (%)			
	Targets					Actual					New Appropriation	Allotment Released					Actual Obligation					Disbursement				Obligation vs. Allotment	Disbursement vs. Obligation	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	1st Sem		2nd Sem		Total	1st Sem		2nd Sem				Total
	19	20	22	23	24	25	26	28	29	30		19	20	22	23	24	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total			
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	16	17	18	19	20	22	23	24	25	26	28	29	30	31	32	
General Administration And Support											31,604	28,529	4,158	-	-	32,687	7,501	6,323	7,404	11,109	32,337	6,949	6,370	7,774	11,129	32,222	99%	100%
Current Appropriation											30,060	26,113	3,801	-	-	29,914	6,249	5,957	6,782	10,626	29,614	5,697	6,004	7,152	10,645	29,498	99%	100%
Continuing Appropriation																												
Automatic Appropriation																												
RLIP											1,544	1,544	357	-	-	1,901	380	366	622	483	1,851	380	366	622	484	1,852	97%	100%
SAGF												872	-	-	-	872	872	-	-	-	872	872	-	-	-	872	100%	100%
Support to Operations											1,521	1,521	-	-	-	1,521	224	465	216	603	1,508	61	314	334	732	1,441	99%	96%
Current Appropriation											1,521	1,521	-	-	-	1,521	224	465	216	603	1,508	61	314	334	732	1,441	99%	96%
Continuing Appropriation																												
Automatic Appropriation																												
RLIP																												
SAGF																												
Operations																												
Higher Education Program											88,331	8,462	7,232	-	399	97,823	21,984	26,873	17,329	29,904	96,090	21,816	25,649	18,217	29,906	95,588	98%	99%
Current Appropriation											81,730	81,730	-	-	81,730	18,213	22,564	13,931	25,409	80,117	18,044	21,339	14,820	25,810	80,013	98%	100%	
Continuing Appropriation																												
Automatic Appropriation																												
RLIP											6,601	6,601	574	-	7,175	1,910	1,870	1,623	1,652	7,055	1,910	1,870	1,623	1,652	7,055	98%	100%	
SAGF												1,861	6,658	-	399	8,918	1,861	2,439	1,775	2,843	8,918	1,862	2,440	1,774	2,444	8,520	100%	96%
Outcome Indicators																												
PI1 - Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams		40%	42%	53%	45%		44.44%		64.16%	54%																		
PI2 - Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed		60%			60%		79.63%			80%																		
Output Indicators																												
PI1 - % of undergraduate students population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	35%		35%		35.26%	42.23%		46.14%		44%																		
PI2 - Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation				100%	100%				100%	100%																		
Research Program											2,077	2,077	-	-	-	2,077	642	552	279	463	1,936	479	487	310	451	1,727	93%	89%
Current Appropriation											2,077	2,077	-	-	-	2,077	642	552	279	463	1,936	479	487	310	451	1,727	93%	89%
Continuing Appropriation																												
Automatic Appropriation																												
RLIP																												
SAGF																												
Outcome Indicators																												
PI1 - Number of Research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries				4	4				9	9																		
Output Indicators																												
PI1 - Number of Research Outputs completed within the year	1	2	12	13	28	1	3	8	16	28																		
PI2 - % of Research outputs presented in national, regional and international forums within the year				33% (13/39)	33% (13/39)				51% (20/39)	155%																		

AGENCY PERFORMANCE REVIEW (APR) MATRIX

DEPARTMENT: State College and Universities (SUC)

AGENCY: DAVAO ORIENTAL STATE UNIVERSITY

As of December 31, 2018

MFO/PAP/Performance Indicators	FY 2018 Physical Targets/Accomplishment										FY 2018 Financial Performance (In Thousand Pesos)																	
	Targets					Actual					New Appropriation	Allotment Released					Actual Obligation					Disbursement				Utilization Rate (%)		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	1st Sem		2nd Sem		Total	1st Sem		2nd Sem		Total	Obligation vs. Allotment	Disbursement vs. Obligation
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12	13	14	16	17	18	19	20	22	23	24	25	26	28	29	30
Technical Advisory Extension Program											1,680	1,680	-	-	-	1,680	463	415	688	41	1,607	330	521	553	182	1,586	96%	99%
Current Appropriation											1,680	1,680	-	-	-	1,680	463	415	688	41	1,607	330	521	553	182	1,586	96%	99%
Continuing Appropriation																												
Automatic Appropriation																												
RLIP																												
SAGF																												
Outcome Indicators																												
P1 - Number of active partnership with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	2	2	2	1	7	4	3	3	2	12																		
Output Indicators																												
P1 - Number of Trainees weighted by the length of training	1250	1250	1250	1250	5000	1663	2312	1272	340	5,587																		
P2 - Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	12	13	13	12	50	9	22	13	5	49																		
P3 - Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s and advisory service as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	86.02%	88%	84.80%	80%	85%																		
Projects											141,555	121,555	-	20,000	-	141,555	72,055	-	57,490	11,097	140,642	34,400	5,906	53,670	23,331	117,307	99%	83%
Construction of Graduate School Building, Main Campus			30%	70%	100%	100%				100%	19,555	19,555	-	-	-	19,555	19,555	-	-	-	19,555	10,650	1,181	7,724	-	19,555	100%	100%
Construction of Academic Building, Banaybanay Campus			30%	70%	100%	100%				100%	25,000	25,000	-	-	-	25,000	25,000	-	-	-	25,000	12,500	1,181	11,319	-	25,000	100%	100%
Construction of Academic Building, Main & SIC Campus			30%	70%	100%	50%		50%		100%	25,000	25,000	-	-	-	25,000	12,500	12,500	-	-	25,000	6,250	1,182	9,042	2,980	19,454	100%	78%
Construction of Drainage System, Main Campus			30%	70%	100%	100%				100%	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	10,000	5,000	1,181	3,819	-	10,000	100%	100%
Construction of Physical Education, Sports and Wellness Center, Main Campus			30%	70%	100%			100%		100%	15,000	15,000	-	-	-	15,000		14,998	-	-	14,998			10,906	-	10,906	100%	73%
Construction of Laboratory for BS Criminology, Main Campus			30%	70%	100%				92%	92%	12,000	12,000	-	-	-	12,000		-	11,097	-	11,097			-	7,391	7,391	92%	67%
Power House Supply, Main Campus			30%	70%	100%			100%		100%	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	10,000		9,992	-	-	9,992			3,848	2,570	6,418	100%	64%
Establishment of Water System, Main Campus			30%	70%	100%	100%				100%	5,000	5,000	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	-	-	-	5,000	1,181	3,819	-	-	5,000	100%	100%
Completion of the Five -storey Academic Building			30%	70%	100%			100%		100%	20,000	-	-	20,000	-	20,000			20,000	-	20,000			3,193	10,390	13,583	100%	68%
GRAND TOTAL											266,768	163,824	11,390	20,000	399	277,343	102,869	34,628	83,406	53,217	274,120	64,035	39,247	80,858	65,731	249,871	99%	91%

ANALYSIS OF INCOME PERFORMANCE

ANNEX B

(In thousand pesos, unless otherwise)

Department	Agency	Nature of Income	FY Target (P Thousand)		January-June Performance				January-December Performance			
			Immediately Preceding Year	Current Year	Actual (P Thousand)		% of FY Target		Full Year Level (P Thousand)		% of FY Target	
					Immediately Preceding Year	Current Year	Immediately Preceding Year	Current Year	Actual Immediately Preceding Year	Current Year (considering latest actual levels to date plus estimate)	Immediately Preceding Year	Current Year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)=(6)/(4)*100	(9)=(7)/(5)*100	(10)	(11)	(12)=(10)/(4)*100	(13)=(11)/(5)*100
SUC	DOSCST	IGF	51,524	37,360	50,616	32,746	98%	88%	102,228	87,046	198%	233%

*Actual Collection of Immediately Preceding Year was based on FAR No. 5 as of qtr. Ending December 31, 2017

** FY Target was based in the BESF (Table G-SORE, FY 2017-2019)

*** Current Year Actual Collection was based on the submitted report (thru URS) -FAR No. 5 of the current Year

Objective

To review and evaluate the Agency's performance (physical, financial and income), consistent with Book VI of Executive Order No. 292 (Administrative Code of 1987) dated July 25, 1987, as cited in Chapter 2 – Budget Policy and Approach (Section 9 – Performance and Financial Review) and Chapter 6 – Budget Accountability (Section 56 – Semi-Annual Report on Accomplishment of Government Agencies).

Background

1. Programs/Projects

1.1 Programs

General Administration and Support (GASS)
 Support to Operations (STO)

Operations

Higher Education Program (HEP)
 Research Program (RP)
 Technical Advisory Extension Program (TAEP)

1.2 Projects

Locally Funded Projects

2. Targets and Accomplishments for the Period

DOSCST is located at Guang-guang, Dahican, Davao Oriental, Mati City and has three (3) campuses namely:

- Cateel Extension Campus, located at Cateel, Davao Oriental
- Banaybanay Extension Campus, located at Banaybanay, Davao Oriental
- San Isidro Extension Campus, located at San Isidro, Davao Oriental

2.1 Physical Performance (as corrected)

Performance Indicator	Targets	Actual	% of Accomplishment
	2018	Jan-Dec 2018	
Overall Rating			149%
Higher Education Program			120%
Outcome Indicators			127%
1. Percentage of first-time Licensure Exam-takers that pass the Licensure Exams.	45%	54%	120%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	60%	80%	133%
Output Indicators			113%
1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	35%	44%	126%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	100%	100%	100%

Research Program			177%
Outcome Indicator			225%
1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	4	9	225%
Output Indicators			128%
1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	28	28	100%
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional and international forums in the last three (3) years	33% (13/39)	51% (20/39)	155%
Technical Advisory Extension Program			149%
Outcome Indicator			171%
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	7	12	171%
Output Indicators			127%
1. Number of Trainees weighted by the length of training	5000	5587	112%
2. No. of Extension Programs organized and supported consistent with the SUCs mandated and priority programs	50	49	98%
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	50%	85%	170%

2.2 Financial Performance

Obligational Authority

PAP		CY 2018 Allotment (000)	Special	Total (000)
			Purpose Fund (MPBF/PGF) (000)	
GASS	P	31,815	872 P	32,687
STO		1,521	-	1,521
HEP		88,905	8,918	97,823
RP		2,077		2,077
TAEP		1,680		1,680
Locally Funded Projects		141,555		141,555
Total	P	267,553	9,790 P	277,343

Budget Utilization Rate (BUR)

PAP	Allotment Released (000)	Actual Obligations (000)	Disbursement (000)	Utilization Rate		
				Obligation vs Allotment	Disbursement vs Obligation	Disbursement vs Allotment
GASS	32,687	32,337	32,222	99%	100%	99%
STO	1,521	1,508	1,441	99%	96%	95%
HEP	97,823	96,090	95,588	98%	99%	98%
RP	2,077	1,936	1,727	93%	89%	83%
TAEP	1,680	1,607	1,586	96%	99%	94%
Locally Funded Projects	141,555	140,642	117,307	99%	83%	83%
	<u>277,343</u>	<u>274,120</u>	<u>249,871</u>	<u>99%</u>	<u>91%</u>	<u>90%</u>

DOSCST's Budget Utilization Rate (BUR) for the period January 1 to December 31 of CY 2018 are as follows:

- 99% - Obligation vs Allotment
- 91% - Disbursement vs Obligation
- 90% - Disbursement vs Allotment

2.3 Income Performance

For CY 2018, DOSCST has an income of P87,045,934.88 from the following internally generated income as shown in FAR No. 5:

Sources of Revenue and other Receipts	Amount
Off-Budget Accounts	
Other School Fees	84,116,784.38
Rent/Lease Income	117,148.00
Other Business Income	2,812,002.50
	<u>87,045,934.88</u>

The aforementioned internally generated income of P87,045,934.88 is equivalent to 233% of DOSCST's targeted estimated income in FY 2018 as reflected in the FY 2018 BESF of P37,360,000. The CY 2018 income is 85% of the 2017 income of P102,228.00 (See Annex B for details).

As reflected in FAR No. 2, the following expenses were charged from total income of P87,045,934.88 plus the beginning balance of P89,178,000; totaling to P176,223,934.88 or 85% achievement of target.

<u>Object of Expenditures</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Personnel Services	2,845,796.12
MOOE	99,104,752.06
Capital Outlay	48,144,128.76
	<u>150,094,676.94</u>

Summary of Findings

1. Physical Performance

1.1 Higher Education Program – The average accomplishment for both the Outcome and Output Indicators is 120%, broken down as follows:

Outcome Indicators	1	120%	
	2	<u>133%</u>	127%
Output Indicators	1	126%	
	2	<u>100%</u>	<u>113%</u>
Average Total			<u>120%</u>

The big increase in the accomplishment for Outcome Indicator 2 of more than 25% is due to the implementation of the “Tracer-Study”, a tool used by DOSCST to trace all graduates from the preceding two (2) years whether they are employed or not.

1.2 Research Program – PI target under *Outcome Indicator* was scheduled in 4th quarter. The average accomplishment for both the Outcome and Output Indicators is 252% , broken down as follows:

Outcome Indicator	1	<u>225%</u>	225%
Output Indicators	1	100%	-
	2	<u>456%</u>	<u>278%</u>
Average Total			<u>252%</u>

As alleged, Outcome Indicator 1 is a new indicator that conforms to the Program Expenditure Classification (PREXC). The College has no available previous years' data to use as basis for their targets. Thus, a very conservative target of 4. The College accomplishment for the year was 9.

However, the Output Indicator 2 was inadvertently shown/targeted in the Physical Performance Targets as the target total research outputs of 39 was divided by the 13 research outputs presented or 39 over 13 or 300% instead of 13 over 39 or 33%.

The research outputs presented in 2018 is 20 out of the total research outputs of 39 or 51%. This accomplishment is 155% of the 33% target.

Hence, the average accomplishment for the Research Program, should be as follows:

Outcome Indicator 1	<u>225%</u>	225%
Output Indicators 1	100%	
	<u>2 155%</u>	<u>128%</u>
Average Total		<u><u>177%</u></u>

- 1.3 Technical Advisory Extension Program – The average accomplishment for both the Outcome and Output Indicators is 149%, broken down as follows:

Outcome Indicator 1	<u>171%</u>	171%
Output Indicators 1	112%	
	2 98%	
	<u>3 170%</u>	<u>127%</u>
Average Total		<u><u>149%</u></u>

Same as Outcome Indicator 1 of Higher Education Program, Outcome Indicator 1 of this program is also a new indicator that conform to PREXC. The College has also no available baseline data for the target. Thus, a significant accomplishment of 12 projects over a minimal target of 7.

However, the justification for having no baseline data for Output Indicator 3 is not acceptable. They were able to accomplish 169% of the targets because they understated the target on this indicator. At least 75% of the beneficiaries should be targeted to be a credible indicator.

2. Financial Performance

DOSCST's Budget Utilization Rate (BUR) for the period January 1 to December 31 of CY 2018 are as follows:

- 99% - Obligation vs Allotment
- 91% - Disbursement vs Obligation
- 90% - Disbursement vs Allotment

This BUR is commendable.

Based on our observation, the design-and-build mode of procurement in the implementation of the projects was a big factor in the achievement of DOSCST's higher BUR.

◆ **Overall Agency Comments/Recommendation**

1. DOSCST's performance ratings for CY 2018 are as follows:

Physical Performance	
HEP	120%
RP	177%
TAEP	149%
Average	149%
Financial Performance (Obligation BUR)	99%

2. **For Physical Performance**

The Physical Performance, though showing outstanding results may not fully give the picture of the College's accomplishment with the absence of the baseline data during target setting. However, for the 2018 APR evaluation, this is still acceptable. Targets in the future years should already be based on your actual 2018 accomplishment.

3. **For Financial Performance**

The high BUR of DOSCST is commendable. Implementation of the design-and-build mode of procurement which contributed to the successful achievement of your BUR should be continued. .

The high collection over target and the College's BUR of 85% for Income are also both commendable.

However, the 2019 target for this should not be lower than the accomplishment this year.

We recommend that in the succeeding years the College should:

1. Consider obtaining all factors in the State College and its Stakeholders in coming up with plans.
2. Review its existing tools in planning.
3. Review the plans from time to time.
4. Use the actual accomplishment as one of the baseline data in setting up realistic target.
5. Extensive planning process to include consultation of stakeholders, timing of needs, climate change and priorities among others before a project will be proposed with considerations on the requirements set by other oversight and implementing Agencies (e.g. NEDA, DPWH, MGB, PAGASA, and PHILVOCS).

6. A system or a mechanism should be established to determine compliance on the aforementioned requirements, e.g. checklist.
7. Conduct of Early Procurement Activities (EPA) short of award should be undertaken pursuant. Section 7.6 of the Revised 2016 Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of RA 9184 and supplemented by DBM Circular Letter Nos. 2018-8 dated July 30, 2018 and 2018-12 dated November 21, 2018.
8. Continue the implementation of design-and-build mode of procurement.